



CONSUMER STAPLES, BIOTECH

House GMO Labeling Hearing Should Provide Useful 2015 Preview

- A House Energy and Commerce Committee hearing tomorrow on GMO labeling legislation and regulation, featuring witnesses from the FDA and the Vermont state legislature, should provide several useful insights on how the labeling debate may play out in 2015. The hearing takes place as Oregon is finalizing a recount of its state labeling ballot measure this week, which we [continue](#) to believe will fail.
- We see the committee's year-end focus on a key industry-backed bill (HR 4432) to establish voluntary federal GMO labeling rules that would preempt onerous state mandatory labeling measures as an indicator that the legislation could move in the House next year. We'll be watching to judge members' commitment to the issue and the resistance they may face from Democrats who've opposed the legislation.
- The hearing could also provide some perspective on the industry lawsuit to block the Vermont labeling law and potential timing around voluntary GMO labeling guidance FDA proposed in 2001, as we discuss in the full note.

The House Energy and Commerce Committee's Health Subcommittee will meet tomorrow (12/10) at 10:15am ET to discuss FDA genetically modified ingredient labeling oversight. It will also cover a bipartisan industry-backed House bill (HR 4432) that would require FDA to establish voluntary GMO labeling guidelines, preempting mandatory state labeling laws - [webcast here](#). The hearing takes place as Oregon is finalizing a recount of its state labeling ballot measure, which we [continue](#) to believe will fail.

We've questioned whether HR 4432 could pass given how difficult it is to move any one-off issue through the gridlocked Congress, but the late-breaking hearing could signal that E&C intends to prioritize the bill next year. Committee movement would increase the bill's chances of being attached to larger legislation "must pass"

December 9, 2014

Rob Smith

rob.smith@capalphadc.com
202-215-5202

RELATED RESEARCH

[NIH Reportedly Nets Only Minimal Increase in Omnibus](#)
December 08, 2014

[Labor Unions Could Be Squeezed at State Level](#)
December 05, 2014

[Movement Toward Spending Deal a Slight Positive for NIH](#)
December 04, 2014

RELEVANT TICKERS

[MON](#), [DD](#), [DOW](#), [ADR](#), [BAY](#), [CAG](#),
[PEP](#), [KO](#), [MNST](#), [DPS](#), [KRFT](#), [GIS](#)



legislation, so the hearing should be useful to help judge members' commitment to the issue and what resistance they may face. Movement on HR 4432 would be a key positive for the food, beverage and biotech sectors, as we continue to [believe](#) industry will face an expensive uphill fight against state labeling initiatives until/unless a federal bill passes.

Members are also likely to pressure FDA to finalize voluntary GMO labeling [guidance](#) the agency proposed in 2001. Although legislation would be necessary for the guidance to preempt state labeling laws, FDA standards would provide a useful tool to help industry lobby against mandatory state proposals. We also expect pro-industry members to ask witness Michael Landa, FDA's Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition Director, to reaffirm the agency's standing position that mandatory labeling for GM ingredients is unnecessary since there's no evidence genetically modified food are unsafe.

We doubt FDA would move faster under Congressional pressure or otherwise change whatever course it may take on GMOs, but Landa could give some indication on possible timing. The hearing also includes witnesses from the Kansas Farm Bureau and the Snack Food Association, who are likely to emphasize GMO safety and the potential consumer and commodity cost increases that states' mandatory labeling measures could bring about.

On the pro-labeling side, members will hear from Kate Webb, a member of the Vermont House of Representatives leadership who was instrumental in passing the state's labeling law and Scott Faber with the Environmental Working Group. Webb is likely to give some useful perspective on the state's defense against an industry suit against the Vermont labeling law lead by the Grocery Manufacturers Association (GMA) that could be scheduled for opening arguments by the end of the year. Interestingly, Faber is a former GMA executive who now helps lead much of the pro-labeling groups' federal efforts.

**Analyst Certification**

The following analysts hereby certify (1) that their views about any and all of the subject companies and securities discussed in this report are accurately expressed and (2) that no part of their compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this report: Rob Smith.

Important Disclosures

This publication is for private circulation and distribution in its entirety; it is provided to you for information purposes only. This is not an offer to buy or sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any security/instrument or to participate in any particular trading strategy.

Capital Alpha Partners, LLC makes every effort to use reliable, comprehensive information, but we do not represent or warrant that it is accurate or complete. The views in this publication are those of Capital Alpha Partners, LLC and are subject to change without notice. Capital Alpha Partners, LLC has no obligation to update its opinions or the information in this publication.

Neither Capital Alpha Partners, LLC, nor any respective officers, directors, partners, employees, or affiliates accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication or its contents.

Analysts may own securities of the issuers discussed herein.

© Copyright Capital Alpha Partners, LLC (2014). All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, sold, or redistributed without the prior written permission of Capital Alpha Partners, LLC.